

SECTION FOUR

PROPOSAL EVALUATION

SECTION FOUR - PROPOSAL EVALUATION

4.1 Introduction

The evaluation of proposals received in response to the RFP will be conducted comprehensively, fairly and impartially. Structural, quantitative scoring techniques will be utilized to maximize the objectivity of the evaluation.

4.2 Evaluation Process

The Family Court staff of the Judiciary will review and evaluate proposals. When an evaluation committee is utilized, the committee will be comprised of individuals with experience in, knowledge of, and program responsibility for program service and financing.

The evaluation will be conducted in three phases as follows:

- Phase 1 - Evaluation of Proposal Requirements
- Phase 2 - Evaluation of POS Proposal Application
- Phase 3 - Recommendation for Award

4.2.1 Evaluation Categories and Threshold

<u>Evaluation Categories</u>	<u>Possible Points</u>
Administrative Requirements	Pass or Rejected
<i>Proposal Application</i>	100 Points
Program Overview	0 points
Experience and Capability	20 points
Project Organization and Staffing	15 points
Service Delivery	55 points
Financial	10 points
TOTAL POSSIBLE POINTS	100 Points

4.3 Evaluation Criteria

4.3.1 Phase 1 - Evaluation of Proposal Requirements

4.3.1.1 Administrative Requirements

- Application Checklist
- Registration (if not pre-registered with the State Procurement Office)
- Certifications (as applicable)

4.3.1.2 Proposal Application Requirements

- Proposal Application Identification Form (Form SPO-H-200)
- Table of Contents
- Program Overview
- Experience and Capability
- Project Organization and Staffing
- Service Delivery
- Financial (All required forms and documents)
- Program Specific Requirements (as applicable)

4.3.2 Phase 2 - Evaluation of Proposal (100 Points)

4.3.2.1 Program Overview (0 Points)

- The applicant has demonstrated a thorough understanding of the purpose and scope of the service activity.
- The goals and objectives are in alignment with the proposed service activity.
- The applicant has described how the proposed service is designed to meet the pertinent issues and problems related to the service activity.

4.3.2.2 Experience and Capability (20 Points)

- The Judiciary will evaluate the applicant's experience and capability relevant to the proposal contract which shall include:
- Demonstrated skills, abilities, knowledge of, and experience relating to the delivery of the proposed services.
- Sufficiency of quality assurance and evaluation plans for the proposed services, including methodology.
- Demonstrated capability to coordinate services with other agencies and resources in the community.
- Adequacy of facilities relative to the proposed services.

4.3.2.3 Project Organization and Staffing (15 Points)

- The Judiciary will evaluate the applicant's overall staffing approach to the service that shall include:
- That the proposed staffing pattern, client/staff ratio, and proposed caseload capacity is reasonable to insure viability of the services.
- Minimum qualifications (including experience) for staff assigned to the program.
- Demonstrated ability to supervise, train and provide administrative direction to staff relative to the delivery of the proposed services.
- Organization Chart (Approach and rationale for the structure, functions, and staffing of the proposed organization for the overall service activity and tasks).

4.3.2.4 Service Delivery (55 points)

Evaluation criteria for this section will assess the applicant's approach to the service activities and management requirements outlined in the Proposal Application. The evaluation criteria may also include an assessment of the logic of the work plan for the major service activities and tasks to be completed, including clarity in work assignments and responsibilities, and the realism of the timeliness and schedules, as applicable.

4.3.2.5 Financial (10 Points)

- A. Pricing structure based on negotiated unit of service:

Competitiveness and reasonableness of unit of service, as applicable.

OR

- B. Pricing structure based on fixed rate:

Applicant's proposal budget is reasonable, given program resources and operational capacity.

AND

- C. Adequacy of accounting system.

4.3.3 Phase 3 - Recommendation for Award

Each notice of award shall contain a statement of findings and decision for the award or non-award of the contract to each applicant.

END OF SECTION